## Appendix 2 – Audit Opinions – Definition of Assurance Grading

| Conclusion            | Definition   |
|-----------------------|--|
| No assurance          | One or more priority one recommendations and fundamental design or operational weaknesses in more than one part of the area under review (i.e. the weakness or weaknesses identified have a fundamental and immediate impact preventing achievement of strategic aims and/or objectives; or result in an unacceptable exposure to reputation or other strategic risks).          |
| Limited assurance     | One or more priority one recommendations, <b>or</b> a high number of medium priority recommendations that taken cumulatively suggest a weak control environment (i.e. the weakness or weaknesses identified have a significant impact preventing achievement of strategic aims and/or objectives; or result in an unacceptable exposure to reputation or other strategic risks). |
| Adequate assurance    | One or more priority two recommendations (i.e. that there are weaknesses requiring improvement but these are not vital to the achievement of strategic aims and objectives - however, if not addressed the weaknesses could increase the likelihood of strategic risks occurring).   |
| Substantial assurance | No or priority three only recommendations (i.e. any weaknesses identified relate only to issues of good practice which could improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the system or process).   |